

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/824,621	04/02/2001	Harold Mattice	403120	403120 1062		
27717 75	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 55 E. MONROE STREET			COBURN, C	COBURN, CORBETT B		
SUITE 4200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			3714			

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/824,621	MATTICE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Corbett B. Coburn	3714		

	Corbett B. Coburn	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess			
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abai idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee se action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	had animate the state of filing a bring	will not be entered by				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41			2701 204			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancer non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		il be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16 and 32-36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	ice because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: <u>See attached</u> .	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)				
		Corbett B. Coburn Examiner Art Unit: 3714				

Application/Control Number: 09/824,621 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment neither puts the application in condition for allowance nor simplifies the issues for appeal. Yet it does not present new issues – all electronic locks have a processor that causes the lock to move from a locked to an unlocked position. Certainly Graham teaches such a lock. Should Applicant submit an RCE with this amendment, Applicant may expect to receive a final rejection on the first action.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 25 January 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant argues that the combination of Luciano and Graham does not teach that the microprocessor can open a plurality of locks associated with access doors. Clearly, if Graham can open one lock, one of ordinary skill in the art can figure out how to use Graham to open two locks without undue experimentation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4447. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Jones can be reached on (571) 272-4438. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,621 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Corbett B. Coburn

Examiner
Art Unit 3714